

1. (24 points) Consider the Kripke structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, I, J \rangle$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{a, b, c, g\} \\ I(r) &= \{c, g\} \\ I(s) &= \{a, b, c\} \\ I(t) &= \{b, c, g\} \\ J(\text{Sid}) &= \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, c), (g, g)\} \\ J(\text{Al}) &= \{(a, b), (b, b), (c, b), (g, a)\} \\ J(\text{Cam}) &= \{(a, a), (a, b), (b, g), (b, c), (c, g), (g, g)\} \end{aligned}$$

- (a) (2 points) What is the value of $J(\text{Sid} | \text{Al})$?
 $\{(a, b), (b, b), (g, a)\}$
- (b) (2 points) What is the value of $J(\text{Al} | \text{Cam})$?
 $\{(a, c), (a, g), (b, c), (b, g), (c, c), (c, g), (g, a), (g, b)\}$
- (c) (20 points) For each formula that follows, give the set of worlds in W in which it is true. You do not need to show your work.
- i. $r \supset (s \wedge t)$
 $\{a, b, c\}$
 - ii. $\neg(r \supset (s \wedge t))$
 $\{g\}$
 - iii. *Sid* says r
 $\{b, c, g\}$
 - iv. *Sid* controls r
 $\{a, c, g\}$
 - v. *Al* says r
 \emptyset
 - vi. *Al* controls r
 W
 - vii. $\text{Sid} \Rightarrow \text{Cam}$
 \emptyset
 - viii. $\text{Al} | \text{Cam}$ says r
 $\{a, b, c\}$
 - ix. *Sid* says *Al* says r
 $\{c\}$
 - x. $\text{Cam} \& \text{Al} \Rightarrow \text{Sid} | \text{Al}$
 W

2. (12 points) Give a formal proof of the following derivable rule:

$$\frac{P | Q \text{ controls } (S \Rightarrow T) \quad R \Rightarrow Q \quad R \text{ says } (S \Rightarrow T)}{S | Q \Rightarrow T | Q}$$

In addition to the inference rules and definitions of Figure 3.1, you may use *without proof* any derived rule that appears in Chapter 3 of the text, including those listed in exercises.

Note: Do not use more than one logical rule on a single line of the proof.

1. $P \mid Q$ controls $(S \Rightarrow T)$	Assumption
2. $R \Rightarrow Q$	Assumption
3. R says $(S \Rightarrow T)$	Assumption
4. Q says $(S \Rightarrow T)$	2,3 Derived Speaks For
5. P says Q says $(S \Rightarrow T)$	4, Says
6. $(P \mid Q$ says $(S \Rightarrow T)) \equiv (P$ says Q says $(S \Rightarrow T))$	Quoting
7. $P \mid Q$ says $(S \Rightarrow T)$	5,6 Equivalence
8. $S \Rightarrow T$	1,7 Controls
9. $Q \Rightarrow Q$	Idempotency of \Rightarrow
10. $S \mid Q \Rightarrow T \mid Q$	8,9 Monotonicity of \Rightarrow

3. (12 points) Prove that the following proposed inference rule is *sound*:

$$\frac{P \Rightarrow Q \ \& \ R \quad Q \text{ controls } \varphi}{P \text{ controls } \varphi}$$

That is, show that—for all Kripke structures \mathcal{M} , principals P, Q, R , and formulas φ —the following statement is true:

If $\mathcal{M} \models P \Rightarrow Q \ \& \ R$ and $\mathcal{M} \models Q$ controls φ , then $\mathcal{M} \models P$ controls φ as well.

PROOF: Consider an arbitrary Kripke structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, I, J \rangle$ such that

$$\mathcal{M} \models P \Rightarrow Q \ \& \ R, \quad \mathcal{M} \models Q \text{ controls } \varphi.$$

By definition of \models ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[P \Rightarrow Q \ \& \ R] = W,$$

which means that $J(Q \ \& \ R) \subseteq J(P)$. Since $J(Q \ \& \ R) = J(Q) \cup J(R)$, it follows that $J(Q) \subseteq J(P)$.

Likewise, by definition of \models ,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[Q \text{ controls } \varphi] \\ &= (W - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[Q \text{ says } \varphi]) \cup \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[\varphi], \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[Q \text{ says } \varphi] \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[\varphi]$.

Recall Fact 3 from lecture (15 Sept 2011):

For all principals P, Q , formulas ψ , and Kripke structures $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, I, J \rangle$, if $J(Q) \subseteq J(P)$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[P \text{ says } \psi] \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[Q \text{ says } \psi]$.

Thus, by Fact 3,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[P \text{ says } \varphi]] \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[Q \text{ says } \varphi]] \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[\varphi]],$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (W - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[P \text{ says } \varphi]]) \cup \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[\varphi]], \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[P \text{ controls } \varphi]]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{M} \models P \text{ says } \varphi$. Because \mathcal{M} was arbitrary, the rule is sound.

4. (12 points) Show that the following proposed inference rule is *not sound*, and therefore should not be added to the logic:

$$\frac{P \text{ says } \varphi_1}{\varphi_2 \supset P \text{ says } (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)}$$

That is, give a particular Kripke structure \mathcal{M} , formulas φ_1 and φ_2 , and principal P such that:

$$\mathcal{M} \models P \text{ says } \varphi_1, \text{ but } \mathcal{M} \not\models \varphi_2 \supset P \text{ says } (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2).$$

For maximal credit, be sure to provide calculations to support your answer.

ANSWER: Let φ_1 be the formula p and φ_2 be q ; let P be the principal Al .

Consider the model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, I, J \rangle$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{a, b\} \\ I(p) &= \{a\} \\ I(q) &= \{b\} \\ J(Al) &= \{(a, a), (b, a)\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[p \wedge q]] = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[p]] \cap \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[q]] = I(p) \cap I(q) = \emptyset$. In addition, we have

$$J(Al)a = \{a\}, \quad J(Al)b = \{a\}.$$

- $\mathcal{M} \models Al \text{ says } p$, because:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[Al \text{ says } p]] &= \{w \mid J(Al)w \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[p]]\} \\ &= \{w \mid J(Al)w \subseteq \{a\}\} \\ &= \{a, b\} = W. \end{aligned}$$

- In contrast, $\mathcal{M} \not\models q \supset (Al \text{ says } (p \wedge q))$, because:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[q \supset Al \text{ says } (p \wedge q)]] &= (W - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[q]]) \cup \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[Al \text{ says } (p \wedge q)]] \\ &= (W - \{b\}) \cup \{w \mid J(Al)w \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}[[p \wedge q]]\} \\ &= (W - \{b\}) \cup \{w \mid J(Al)w \subseteq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{a\} \cup \emptyset \\ &= \{a\} \neq W \end{aligned}$$