

❖ **Problem 1. DPV Exercise 8.1.** ❖ Suppose, given a matrix of distances M and a budget b , the procedure $S(M, d)$ returns a tour through all the cities that has length $\leq b$, if such a tour exists, and returns NONE, if there is no such tour. Consider:

```
function TSPopt(M)
  ℓ ← 0;   r ← the sum of all the numbers in M
  while ℓ < r do
    m ← ⌊(ℓ+r)/2⌋; T ← S(M, m)
    if T = NONE then ℓ ← m + 1 else r ← m
  return S(M, r)
```

Let $s =$ the sum of all the numbers in M . Note: If $|M| =$ the number of bits it takes to write down M , then $|s|$ (= the number of bits it takes to write down s) is $O(|M|)$. Now, if $S(M, d)$ runs in $p(|M|, |d|)$ -time for some polynomial p , then the above function on input M runs in $O(|M| \cdot p(|M|, c \cdot |M|))$ time, for some constant c .

❖ **Problem 2. DPV Exercise 8.2.** ❖ Suppose, given a graph G , the procedure $D(G)$ returns *true*, if G has a Rudrata path, and *false*, otherwise. Here is how to use D to find a path if it exists.

```
function RudrataPath(G)           // where (V, E) = G
  if not D(G) then return "no path"
  E' ← E
  for each e ∈ E do
    // See if we still have a Rudrata path when we leave out e
    G' ← (V, E' - {e})
    if D(G') then E' ← E' - {e}
  return E'
```

The only edges left in E' at the end are the edges making up the Rudrata path—all the other edges could be left out.

❖ **Problem 3. DPV Exercise 8.3.** ❖ We show: $SAT \trianglelefteq$ stingy SAT.

Given an instance of SAT I , let (I, k) be an instance of stingy SAT where $k =$ the number of variables in SAT instance I .

CLAIM: I is a yes-instance of SAT $\iff (I, k)$ is a yes-instance of stingy SAT.

PROOF:

(\implies) Suppose I has a satisfying assignment \mathcal{T} . Since there are a total of k variables in I , \mathcal{T} works as a positive solution for the stingy SAT instance (I, k) too.

(\impliedby) Suppose (I, k) has a satisfying assignment \mathcal{T} with no more than k variables assigned *true*. Then obviously \mathcal{T} is a positive solution to I also.

❖ **Problem 4. DPV Exercise 8.9.** ❖ We show: $Vertex\ Cover \trianglelefteq$ Hitting Set.

Suppose $G = (V, E)$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$ where $E = \{(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_k, v_k)\}$. Let $f(G, b) = (\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}, b)$ where for each i , $S_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$.

CLAIM: (G, b) is a yes-instance of Vertex Cover $\iff (\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}, b)$ is a yes-instance of Hitting Set.

PROOF:

(\implies) Suppose there is a set $H \subseteq V$ with $|H| \leq b$ and each $e \in E$ has an end-point in H . Then $|H| \leq b$ and, for each i , $H \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$.

(\impliedby) Suppose there is an $H \subseteq V$ with $|H| \leq b$ and, for each i , $H \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$. Then, by the definition of the S_i 's, $|H| \leq b$ and each $e \in E$ has an end-point in H .

❖ **Problem 5. DPV Exercise 8.10.** ❖

(a) Reduction from *Clique*: Given a graph G and $g > 0$, construct $K_g =$ the complete graph on g vertices. Then (K_g, G) is an instance of subgraph isomorphism that has a positive answer iff G has a clique subgraph on g vertices.

(c) Reduction from *SAT*: Given φ a conjunctive normal form formula, let $g =$ the number of clauses in φ . Then (φ, g) is an instance of *MAX SAT* that has a positive solution iff φ is satisfiable; and in fact, the same satisfying assignment works for both cases.

(d) Reduction from *Clique*: Given a graph G and $g > 0$, let $a = g$ and $b = g \cdot (g - 1) / 2$. Then (K_b, a, b) is an instance of *Dense subgraph* that has a positive answer iff G has a clique subgraph on g vertices. (A clique on g vertices always has $g \cdot (g - 1) / 2$ edges.)