

Problem 1. PG Problems 392, 393, and 394.

392:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
2	T	T	T	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
3	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	F	F
4	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T

393:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	T	F	F	F	F	T	F	F	F	F	F
2	T	F	F	F	T	T	F	F	F	T	F
3	T	F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T	T	F
4	T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T

394:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	T	F	T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
2	T	F	T	F	T	F	F	F	F	F	F
3	T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T	F	F	F
4	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	T

Problem 2. DPV Problem 6.11.

As the hint suggested, we want to compute $L[i, j]$ = the length of the longest common subsequence of $x_{1..i} = x_1x_2 \dots x_i$ and $y_{1..j} = y_1y_2 \dots y_j$. $L[0, j] = L[i, 0] = 0$ since in those cases one of the strings is empty. When $i > 0$ and $j > 0$ and we know $L[i-1, j-1]$, $L[i-1, j]$, and $L[i, j-1]$. The formula for $L[i, j]$ is:

$$L[i, j] = \begin{cases} \max(L[i, j-1], L[i-1, j]), & \text{if } x_i \neq y_j; \\ \max(L[i, j-1], L[i-1, j], 1 + L[i-1, j-1]), & \text{if } x_i = y_j \end{cases}$$

The idea is that if $x_i \neq y_j$, then we cannot use both x_i and y_j , so the best we can do is the $\max L[i, j-1]$ (the length of the l.c.s. of $x_{1..i}$ and $y_{1..j}$ that doesn't use y_j) and $L[i-1, j]$ (the length of the l.c.s. of $x_{1..i}$ and $y_{1..j}$ that doesn't use x_i). If $x_i = y_j$, then we also have the possibility of using x_i and y_j at the end of the l.c.s. of $x_{1..(i-1)}$ and $y_{1..(j-1)}$. So here is the algorithm, which clearly runs in $O(m \cdot n)$ time.

function $lcs(x_{1..m}, y_{1..n})$

for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** m **do** $L[i, 0] \leftarrow 0$

for $j \leftarrow 0$ **to** n **do** $L[0, j] \leftarrow 0$

for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** m **do**

for $j \leftarrow 0$ **to** n **do**

$L[i, j] \leftarrow \max(L[i, j-1], L[i-1, j])$

if $x_i = y_j$ **then** $L[i, j] \leftarrow \max(L[i, j], 1 + L[i-1, j-1])$

Problem 3. DPV Problem 6.18.

To make change for u with denominations 1 through i you have the choice of using a denomination i coin or not. If you use the coin, then you have to make change for $u - x_i$ using denominations 1 through $i - 1$. If you don't use the coin, then you have to make change for u using denominations 1 through $i - 1$. So the equation for $C[i, u]$ is

$$C[i, u] = \begin{cases} C[i-1, u] \text{ or } C[i-1, u-x_i], & \text{if } x_i \leq u; \\ C[i-1, u], & \text{if } x_i > u. \end{cases}$$

So we can compute $C[n, v]$ by

for $i \leftarrow 0$ **to** n **do** $C[i, 0] \leftarrow true$

for $u \leftarrow 1$ **to** v **do** $C[0, u] \leftarrow false$

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** n **do**

for $u \leftarrow 1$ **to** v **do**

if $x_i \leq u$ **then** $C[i, u] \leftarrow C[i-1, u] \text{ or } C[i-1, u-x_i]$

else $C[i, u] \leftarrow C[i-1, u]$

Problem 4. DPV Problem 6.21.

Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is an undirected graph and $U \subseteq V$.

(a) *Claim:* If U is an independent set, then $V - U$ is a vertex cover.

Proof: We argue the contrapositive. Suppose $V - U$ is **not** a vertex cover. Then for some edge (u, v) , neither u nor v is in $V - U$. Hence, $u, v \in U$. Therefore, U cannot be an independent set.

(b) *Claim:* If U is a vertex cover then $V - U$ is an independent set.

Proof: We argue the contrapositive. Suppose $V - U$ is **not** an independent set. Then for some edge (u, v) , neither $u, v \in (V - U)$. Hence, neither u nor v is in U . Therefore, U cannot be a vertex cover.

(c) From (a) and (b), U is a minimal vertex cover if and only if $V - U$ is a maximal independent set. So use the $O(|V|)$ algorithm of the book to find W , a maximal independent set of T and then return $V - W$.